Cary S. Kletter 1 Kletter Law Firm 2 22 Battery Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94111 3 415.434.3400 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 Charles L. Thompson 6 Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak & Stewart One Market Plaza, Suite 1300 7 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.442.4810 8 9 Attorney for Defendants 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOAD ALOWDI, Case No. 09-CV-1258 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SECOND 15 AMENDED COMPLAINT ABLE BUILDING SERVICES dba 16 ABM; MANILO GARCIA; ROBERT ESOUADA; and DOES 1 through 20, 17 inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 WHEREAS Plaintiff FOAD ALOWDI ("Plaintiff") prepared and filed a Second 21 Amended Complaint; and 22 WHEREAS Defendants ABLE BUILDING SERVICES, MANILO GARCIA and 23 ROBERT ESQUADA ("Defendants") agree that the Second Amended Complaint may be 24 deemed the operative pleading and have no objection to the filing thereof; and 25 WHEREAS Plaintiff and Defendants agree that the [PROPOSED] Order attached hereto 26 is acceptable and have no objection thereto; 27

28

NOW THEREFORE it is So Agreed.

Dated: April __, 2009

May 12

Kletter Law Firm

Cary S. Kletter

Attorney for Plaintiff, FOAD ALOWDI

Dated: April , 2009

may 8

Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak & Stewart

Charles L. Thompson

Attorney for Defendant,

ABLE BUILDING SERVICES

Cary S. Kletter 1 Kletter Law Firm 2 22 Battery Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94111 3 415.434.3400 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 Charles Thompson б Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak & Stewart One Market Plaza, Suite 1300 7 San Francisco, CA 94105 8 415.442.4810 9 Attorney for Defendants 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOAD ALOWDI, Case No. 09-CV-1258 13 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] ORDER 14 REGARDING SECOND V. AMENDED COMPLAINT 15 ABLE BUILDING SERVICES dba 16 ABM; MANILO GARCIA; ROBERT 17 ESQUADA; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 WHEREAS Plaintiff FOAD ALOWDI ("Plaintiff") prepared and filed a Second 21 Amended Complaint; and 22 WHEREAS Defendants ABLE BUILDING SERVICES, MANILO GARCIA and 23 ROBERT ESQUADA ("Defendants") agreed that the Second Amended Complaint may be 24 deemed the operative pleading and have no objection to the filing thereof; and 25 NOW THEREFORE it is Ordered that: 26 27 28

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Second Amended Complaint

The Second Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff on April 16 2009 is the operative

SO ORDERED

States District on District of

pleading for all purposes in this matter.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 13, 2009